

Message

From: Lindstrom, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=04BF7CF26AA44CE29763FBC1C1B2338E-LINDSTROM, ANDREW]
Sent: 11/25/2019 1:55:36 PM
To: Washington, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=fdc3e8ce9f1d45c4894881ff420ca104-Washington, John]
Subject: RE: Trenton Briefing Reminder

John,

Thank you. A lot of what I kept in there is sort of just placeholder stuff.

Your new analysis is the most definitive treatment of the situations.

We'll be working to get it ironed out and not redundant.

Thank you,

Andy

From: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 8:44 AM
To: Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Trenton Briefing Reminder

Andy,

I hope to have my draft slides ready later today. I glanced at your slides. They look good. There clearly is overlap with my slides, but I think that is fine.

On your later slide (see below),

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks,
John

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2019 10:04 AM
To: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Cc: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Trenton Briefing Reminder

John,

This is what I've got so far.

I've sent it to Gloria for comments from her side as I want to make sure I cover the NJ DEP concerns too. We should also probably send the near-final presentation to Erica and Sandra to fine tune it at the end.

If we can shuffle in your contribution, as well as Mark's and James', it will be good to eventually have one slide deck to cruise through (for both the managers' meeting and the Rutgers briefing).

I think we do have to do a little more work to get the "Summary" and "Next Steps" slides properly focused, but that can probably come later.

Thank you,

Andy

From: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2019 4:27 PM
To: Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Trenton Briefing Reminder

Andy,

My use-or-lose started earlier this afternoon. I am leaving now.

I just realized you called me earlier because it is noisy in my new cubicle and I was squirreled away in an empty room in another part of the building to work on slides.

Your plan as described in Tim's email seems fine to me. You doing background works perfectly with my first slide because I had guessed you would do background.

Tim mentioned having same format. Attempting to comport with that, I have done all my slides in the format of our last presentation which you recently redistributed. I hope that works.

I plan to have my slides prepared for review on Monday, I hope early. I plan to work on my personal time – that's the best I can do.

Copied Tim because I did not think you would mind and to inform him. (Just got Tim's email: Tim I plan to cover NJ soil and plants, CIPFPECA identification, then distribution of CIPFPECAs and legacy PFCAs in soils and plants. Your description of Andy doing background works perfectly for me.) Leaving lab now guys – plan to have slides drafted in good shape by Monday, perhaps including some animation for clarity of presentation.)

Thanks,
John

From: Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2019 3:19 PM

To: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Trenton Briefing Reminder

John,

No trouble from my end. Tim's just trying to keep us on track.

The amount of time that it takes to review anything related to PFAS these days is a real problem. In fact, I have a meeting with my branch chief and DD tomorrow morning on this but I don't expect anything to happen. I just want to let them know it's really getting out of hand.

As Tim explains it to me, he thinks we won't need to do internal peer-review (363 forms), but we do need to get anything we want to present to Tim Watkins and Andy G. a few weeks in advance of the meeting. Because Tim W. is planning on going to this meeting, I think we will have a bit more leeway on this. We'll of course also need the "draft -deliberative – do not quote or cite" message on every slide too.

You sound busy. Too busy to take your hard earned time off.

Too busy.

Thank you very much,

Andy

From: Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2019 2:25 PM

To: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>; Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>; McCord, James <mccord.james@epa.gov>

Cc: Stevens, Caroline <Stevens.Caroline@epa.gov>; Schumacher, Brian <Schumacher.Brian@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Trenton Briefing Reminder

All,

I have two people being offered Federal positions this week and one Federal person Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) retiring next week. I need to assure that I understand status of all research for Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) will not have slides ready by Thursday, and might not by Friday. I can assure slides will be ready by Monday.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

I will have more than 15 slides, but 50 minutes in sum for (presentation + question) time is fine for me so long as questions end or a moderator ends them.

Thanks

John

From: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2019 2:14 PM

To: Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>; Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>; Washington, John <Washington.John@epa.gov>; McCord, James <mccord.james@epa.gov>

Subject: Trenton Briefing Reminder

Andy and I were chatting about the presentation for NJ DEP senior folks. We want to make sure we present highlights of the important stuff. We are thinking it will go something like this:

1. Welcomes & Introductions (15 min)
2. Study background and overview (~12 slides; 20 min; Lindstrom)
3. Signature data presentation and analysis (~15 slides; 30 min presentation; 20 min Q&A discussion; Washington)
4. Break (10 min)
5. New data / effect of water treatment on CIPFPECA (4-5 slides; McCord 10 min presentation; 10 min Q&A)
6. Discussion of priorities for ORD assistance going forward (e.g. air/stack sampling) (all; balance of time)

Does this sound reasonable? Is there any other work that needs to be included for the senior folks? Can you all send your slides to Andy to combine into one presentation by COB tomorrow? I am working Friday and will plan to submit for clearance (Watkins, Cascio, Gillespie) on Friday.

Thanks.

Tim

Timothy J. Buckley, Ph.D.
Senior Advisor
U.S. EPA/ORD's Center for Public Health & Environmental Assessment
109 TW Alexander Drive (MD E205-01)
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

PH: (919) 541-2454 (O); Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (M)
EMAIL: buckley.timothy@epa.gov